arXiv:1612.05948v1 [math.FA] 18 Dec 2016

BANACH SPACES WITH WEAK*-SEQUENTIAL DUAL BALL

GONZALO MARTÍNEZ-CERVANTES

Abstract. A topological space is said to be sequential if every sequentially closed subspace is closed. We consider Banach spaces with weak*-sequential dual ball. In particular, we show that if X is a Banach space with weak*-sequentially compact dual ball and $Y \subset X$ is a subspace such that Y and X/Y have weak*-sequential dual ball, then X has weak*-sequential dual ball. As an application we obtain that the Johnson-Lindenstrauss space JL_2 and $C(K)$ for K scattered compact space of countable height are examples of Banach spaces with weak*-sequential dual ball, answering in this way a question of A. Plichko.

1. Introduction

All topological spaces considered in this paper are Hausdorff. The symbol ω^* denotes the weak* topology of the corresponding Banach space. A topological space T is said to be *sequentially compact* if every sequence in T contains a convergent subsequence. Moreover, T is said to be *Fréchet-Urysohn* (FU for short) if for every subspace F of T, every point in the closure of F is the limit of a sequence in F. Every FU compact space is sequentially compact. A Banach space with weak*-FU dual ball is said to have *weak*-angelic dual*. Some examples of Banach spaces with weak*-angelic dual are WCG Banach spaces and, in general, WLD Banach spaces. On the other hand, every weak Asplund Banach space and every Banach space without copies of ℓ_1 in the dual have weak*-sequentially compact dual ball [5, Chapter XIII].

In this paper we are going to focus on sequential spaces, which is a generalization of the FU property. If T is a topological space and F is a subspace of T, the *sequential closure* of F is the set of all limits of sequences in F. F is said to be *sequentially closed* if it coincides with its sequential closure. A topological space is said to be *sequential* if any sequentially closed subspace is closed. Thus, every FU space is sequential. Another natural generalization of the FU property is countable tightness. A topological space T is said to have *countable tightness* if for every subspace F of T, every point in the closure of F is in the closure of a countable subspace of F. It can be proved that every sequential space has countable tightness. However, whether the converse implication on the class of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57N17, 54D55, 46A50; Secondary 46B20, 46B50.

Key words and phrases. Sequential, sequentially compact, countable tightness, Fréchet-Urysohn, angelic, Efremov property, Banach space.

The author was partially supported by the research project 19275/PI/14 funded by Fundación Séneca - Agencia de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Región de Murcia within the framework of PCTIRM 2011-2014 and by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and FEDER (project MTM2014-54182-P) .

compact spaces is true is known as the Moore-Mrowka Problem and it is undecidable in ZFC [1]. Therefore, for a compact space K, we have the following implications:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K \text{ is FU} & \Longrightarrow & K \text{ is sequential} & \Longrightarrow & K \text{ is sequentially compact} \\ & & \Downarrow & & \\ & & K \text{ has countable tightness} & & \end{array}$$

In [16] A. Plichko asked whether every Banach space with weak*-sequential dual ball has weak*-angelic dual. In the next section we prove the following theorem, which is applied to prove that the Johnson-Lindenstrauss space JL_2 provides a negative answer to Plichko's question:

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Banach space with weak*-sequentially compact dual ball. Let $Y \subset X$ be a subspace with weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \gamma_1$ and such that X/Y has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \gamma_2$. Then X has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$.*

One of the properties studied by A. Plichko in [16] is property $\mathcal{E}$ of Efremov. A Banach space X is said to have *property $\mathcal{E}$* if every point in the weak*-closure of any *convex* subset $C \subset B_{X^*}$ is the weak*-limit of a sequence in C. We say that X has *property $\mathcal{E}'$* if every weak*-sequentially closed *convex* set in the dual ball is weak*-closed. Thus, if X has weak*-angelic dual then it has property $\mathcal{E}$ and if X has weak*-sequential dual ball then X has property $\mathcal{E}'$. We also provide a convex version of Theorem 1 (see Theorem 4).

Another related Banach space properties are Mazur property and property (C). A Banach space X has *Mazur property* if every $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ which is weak*-sequentially continuous on X^* is weak*-continuous and, therefore, $x^{**} \in X$. Notice that if a topological space T is sequential then any sequentially continuous function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Thus, it follows from the Banach-Dieudonné Theorem that every Banach space with weak*-sequential dual ball has the Mazur property. Moreover, property $\mathcal{E}'$ also implies Mazur property.

A Banach space X has *property (C)* of Corson if and only if every point in the closure of C is in the weak*-closure of a countable subset of C for every convex set C in B_{X^*} (this characterization of property (C) is due to R. Pol [15]).

Thus, we have the following implications among these Banach space properties:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{weak*-angelic dual} & \Rightarrow & \text{weak*-sequential dual ball} & \Rightarrow & \text{weak*-sequentially compact dual ball} & & \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & & & \\ \text{property } \mathcal{E} & \Longrightarrow & \text{property } \mathcal{E}' & \Longrightarrow & \text{property } (C) & & \\ & & \Downarrow & & & & \\ & & \text{Mazur property} & & & & \end{array}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{C}([0,\omega_1])$ has weak*-sequentially compact dual ball but it is not weak*-sequential. Moreover, $\ell_1(\omega_1)$ has the Mazur property [7, Section 5] but it does not have property (C).

In [17] it is asked whether property (C) implies property $\mathcal{E}$. J.T. Moore in an unpublished paper and C. Brech in her PhD Thesis [4] provided a negative answer under some additional consistent axioms, but the question is still open in ZFC. Notice that the convex version of Plichko's question is whether property $\mathcal{E}'$ implies property $\mathcal{E}$. A negative answer to this question would provide an example of a Banach space with property (C) not having property $\mathcal{E}$.

In [8, Lemma 2.5] it is proved that the dual ball of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ does not contain a copy of $\omega_1+1=[0,\omega_1]$ when K is a scattered compact space of finite height satisfying some properties. It is also proved in [13] that $\mathcal{C}(K)$ has the Mazur property whenever K is a scattered compact space of countable height. We generalize these results by proving that $\mathcal{C}(K)$ has weak*-sequential dual ball whenever K is a scattered compact space of countable height (Theorem 10).

2. Banach spaces with weak*-sequential dual ball

Definition 2. *Let T be a topological space and F a subspace of T. For any $\alpha\leq\omega_1$ we define $S_\alpha(F)$ the αth sequential closure of F by induction on α: $S_0(F)=F$, $S_{\alpha+1}(F)$ is the sequential closure of $S_\alpha(F)$ for every $\alpha<\omega_1$ and $S_\alpha(F)=\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}S_\beta(F)$ if α is a limit ordinal.*

Notice that $S_{\omega_1}(F)$ is sequentially closed for every subspace F. Thus, a topological space T is sequential if and only if $S_{\omega_1}(F)=\overline{F}$ for every subspace F of T. We say that T has *sequential order* α if $S_\alpha(F)=\overline{F}$ for every subspace F of T and for every $\beta<\alpha$ there exists F with $S_\beta(F)\neq\overline{F}$. Therefore, a topological space T is sequential with sequential order ≤ 1 if and only if it is FU. We will use the following Lemma in the proof of Theorem 1:

Lemma 3. *Let $f:K\to L$ be a continuous function, where K,L are topological spaces and K is sequentially compact. Then, $f(S_\alpha(F))=S_\alpha(f(F))$ for every $F\subset K$ and every ordinal α.*

Proof. The inclusion $f(S_\alpha(F))\subset S_\alpha(f(F))$ follows from the continuity of f.

We prove the other inclusion by induction on α. The case $\alpha=0$ is immediate. Suppose $\alpha=1$. Take $s\in S_1(f(F))$. Then, there exists a sequence t_n in F such that $f(t_n)$ converges to s. Since K is sequentially compact, without loss of generality we may suppose t_n is converging to some point t. Then, it follows from the continuity of f that $f(t)=s$. Thus, $s\in f(S_1(F))$.

Now suppose the result true for every $\beta<\alpha$ and $\alpha\geq 2$. If α is a limit ordinal then

$$f(S_\alpha(F))=f(\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}S_\beta(F))=\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}f(S_\beta(F))=\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}S_\beta(f(F))=S_\alpha(f(F)).$$

If $\alpha = \beta + 1$ is a successor ordinal then

$$f(S_\alpha(F)) = f(S_1(S_\beta(F))) = S_1(f(S_\beta(F))) = S_1(S_\beta(f(F))) = S_\alpha(f(F)).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. It is enough to prove that if $F \subset B_{X^*}$ and $0 \in \overline{F}^{\omega^*}$ then $0 \in S_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}(F)$. Let $R : X^* \to Y^*$ be the restriction operator. For each finite set $A \subset X$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$F_{A,\varepsilon} = \{x^* \in F : |x^*(x)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in A\}.$$

Since R is weak*-weak* continuous and $0 \in \overline{F_{A,\varepsilon}}^{\omega^*}$, we have that

$$0 \in \overline{R(F_{A,\varepsilon})}^{\omega^*} = S_{\gamma_1}(R(F_{A,\varepsilon})) = R(S_{\gamma_1}(F_{A,\varepsilon})),$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.

Thus, for every finite set $A \subset X$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can take $x^*_{A,\varepsilon} \in S_{\gamma_1}(F_{A,\varepsilon})$ such that $R(x^*_{A,\varepsilon}) = 0$.

Therefore, $0 \in \overline{G}^{\omega^*}$, where

$$G := \{x^*_{A,\varepsilon} : A \subset X \text{ finite}, \varepsilon > 0\} \subset Y^\perp \cap B_{X^*}.$$

Note that $(Y^\perp \cap B_{X^*}, \omega^*)$ is homeomorphic to the dual ball of $(X/Y)^*$. Hence

$$0 \in S_{\gamma_2}(G) \subset S_{\gamma_2}(S_{\gamma_1}(F)) = S_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}(F).$$

□

If $(x_n)_{n<\omega}$ is a sequence in a Banach space, we say that $(y_k)_{k<\omega}$ is a *convex block subsequence* of $(x_n)_{n<\omega}$ if there is a sequence $(I_k)_{k<\omega}$ of subsets of ω with $\max(I_k) < \min(I_{k+1})$ and a sequence $a_n \in [0,1]$ with $\sum_{n\in I_k} a_n = 1$ for every $k < \omega$ such that $y_k = \sum_{n\in I_k} a_n x_n$. A Banach space X is said to have *weak*-convex block compact dual ball* if every bounded sequence in X^* has a weak*-convergent convex block subsequence. Every Banach space containing no isomorphic copies of ℓ_1 has weak*-convex block compact dual ball [3]. Therefore, every WPG Banach space (i.e. every Banach space with a linearly dense weakly precompact set) also has weak*-convex block compact dual ball.

For any ordinal $\gamma \leq \omega_1$, we say that X has *property* $\mathcal{E}(\alpha)$ if $S_\alpha(C) = C$ for every convex subset C in (B_{X^*}, ω^*). Thus, property $\mathcal{E}$ is property $\mathcal{E}(1)$ and property $\mathcal{E}'$ is property $\mathcal{E}(\omega_1)$. The proof of the following theorem is an immediate adaptation of the proof of Lemma 3 and Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a Banach space with weak*-convex block compact dual ball. Let $Y \subset X$ be a subspace with property $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_1)$ such that X/Y has property $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_2)$. Then X has property $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$.*

Theorem 5. *Let X be a Banach space and $(X_n)_{n<\omega}$ an increasing sequence of subpaces with $X = \overline{\bigcup_{n<\omega} X_n}$. Suppose that each X_n has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order α_n. Then X has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \alpha + 1$, where $\alpha := \sup\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\}$.*

Proof. Set $R_n : X^* \to X_n^*$ the restriction operator for every $n < \omega$. Since the countable product of sequentially compact spaces is sequentially compact and (B_{X^*}, ω^*) is homeomorphic to a subspace of $\prod(B_{X_n^*}, \omega^*)$, it follows that X has weak*-sequentially compact dual ball. In order to prove the theorem, it is enough to prove that if $F \subset B_{X^*}$ and $0 \in \overline{F}^{\omega^*}$ then $0 \in S_{\alpha+1}(F)$. Since B_{X^*} is weak*-sequentially compact, we have that $0 \in \overline{R_n(F)}^{\omega^*} = S_\alpha(R_n(F)) = R_n(S_\alpha(F))$ for every $n < \omega$, where the last equality follows from Lemma 3. Thus, we can take a sequence $x_n^* \in S_\alpha(F)$ such that $R_n(x_n^*) = 0$. Now there exists some subsequence of x_n^* converging to a point $x^* \in S_{\alpha+1}(F)$. Since $R_n(x^*) = 0$ for every $n < \omega$, we conclude that $x^* = 0$. □

Corollary 6. *Let X be a Banach space and $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha<\gamma}$ an increasing sequence of subpaces with $X = \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha<\gamma} X_\alpha}$, where γ is a countable limit ordinal. Suppose that each X_α has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \theta_\alpha$. Then X has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \theta + 1$ where $\theta := \sup\{\theta_\alpha : \alpha < \gamma\}$.*

The next theorem follows from combining Theorem 1 and Corollary 6 :

Theorem 7. *Let γ be a countable ordinal, X_γ a Banach space and $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha\leq\gamma}$ an increasing sequence of subpaces of X_γ such that:*

(1) X_0 has weak-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \theta$;*
(2) each quotient $X_{\alpha+1}/X_\alpha$ has weak-angelic dual;*
(3) $X_\alpha = \overline{\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} X_\beta}$ if α is a limit ordinal;
(4) X_γ has weak-sequentially compact dual ball.*

Then each X_α has weak-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \theta + \alpha$ if $\alpha < \omega$ and sequential order $\leq \theta + \alpha + 1$ if $\alpha \geq \omega$.*

Proof. It follows from (4) that every X_α has weak*-sequentially compact dual ball. Thus, the result for $\alpha < \omega$ follows by applying inductively Theorem 1. Suppose $\alpha \geq \omega$ and X_β has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \theta + \beta + 1$ for every $\beta < \alpha$. If α is a limit ordinal then it follows from (3) and from Corollary 6 that X_α has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq \sup_{\beta<\alpha}\{\theta + \beta + 1\} + 1 = \theta + \alpha + 1$. If α is a successor ordinal then the result is a consequence of Theorem 1. □

We also have the following convex equivalent version of the previous theorem:

Theorem 8. *Let γ be a countable ordinal, X_γ a Banach space and $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha\leq\gamma}$ an increasing sequence of subpaces of X_γ such that:*

(1) X_0 has property $\mathcal{E}(\theta)$;
(2) each quotient $X_{\alpha+1}/X_\alpha$ has $\mathcal{E}$;
(3) $X_\alpha = \overline{\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} X_\beta}$ if α is a limit ordinal;
(4) X_γ has weak-convex block compact dual ball.*

Then each X_α has property $\mathcal{E}(\theta + \alpha)$ if $\alpha < \omega$ and property $\mathcal{E}(\theta + \alpha + 1)$ if $\alpha \geq \omega$.

3. Applications

As an application of Theorem 1, we obtain that the Johnson-Lindenstrauss space JL_2 has weak*-sequential dual ball. Let us recall the definition of JL_2:

Let $\{N_r : r \in \Gamma\}$ be an uncountable maximal almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of ω. For each N_r, $\chi_{N_r} \in \ell_\infty$ denotes the characteristic function of N_r. The Johnson-Lindenstrauss space JL_2 is defined as the completion of $\operatorname{span}(c_0 \cup \{\chi_{N_r} : r \in \Gamma\}) \subset \ell_\infty$ with respect to the norm:

$$\left\| x + \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} a_i \chi_{N_{r_i}} \right\| = \max\left\{ \left\| x + \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} a_i \chi_{N_{r_i}} \right\|_\infty, \left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq k} |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\},$$

where $x \in c_0$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm in ℓ_∞. If we just consider the supremum norm in the definition then we obtain the space JL_0. We refer the reader to [12] for more information about these spaces.

Theorem 9. *The Johnson-Lindenstrauss space JL_2 has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order 2.*

Proof. We use the following results proved in [12]:

(i) JL_2 has an equivalent Fréchet differentiable norm;
(ii) JL_2/c_0 is isometric to $\ell_2(\Gamma)$.

It follows from (i) that JL_2 has weak*-sequentially compact dual ball (cf. [10]). It follows from (ii) and Theorem 1 that JL_2 has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order ≤ 2. Since JL_2 does not have weak*-angelic dual (cf. [7, Proposition 5.12]) we have that JL_2 has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order 2.

□

Theorem 9 provides an example of a Banach space with weak*-sequential dual ball which does not have weakly* angelic dual, answering a question of A. Plichko in [16].

For a scattered compact space K, we denote by $ht(K)$ the height of K, i.e. the minimal ordinal γ such that the γth Cantor-Bendixson derivative $K^{(\gamma)}$ is discrete. Since every Banach space with weak*-sequential dual ball has the Mazur property, the following theorem improves [13, Theorem 4.1]:

Theorem 10. *If K is an infinite scattered compact space with $ht(K) < \omega_1$, then $\mathcal{C}(K)$ has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order $\leq ht(K)$ if $ht(K) < \omega$ and with sequential order $\leq ht(K) + 1$ if $ht(K) \geq \omega$.*

Proof. It is well-known that if K is scattered then $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is Asplund and therefore $B_{C(K)^*}$ is weak*-sequentially compact (see, for example, [18]). Denote by $\{K^{(\alpha)} : \alpha \leq \gamma\}$ the Cantor-Bendixson derivatives of K, where $\gamma = ht(K)$. For every $\alpha \leq \gamma$, set

$$X_\alpha = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(K) : f(t) = 0 \text{ for every } t \in K^{(\alpha)}\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{C}(K)$ contains a complemented copy of c_0, every finite-codimensional subspace of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(K)$. Therefore, since X_γ is a finite-codimensional subspace of $\mathcal{C}(K)$, it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(K)$. Notice that for every $0 \leq \alpha < \gamma$ we have that $X_{\alpha+1}/X_\alpha$ is isomorphic to $c_0(K^{(\alpha)} \setminus K^{(\alpha+1)})$. Moreover, if $\alpha \leq \gamma$ is a limit ordinal then $\bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} K^{(\beta)} = K^{(\alpha)}$ and therefore

$$\overline{\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} X_\beta} = \overline{\{f \in \mathcal{C}(K) : \exists \beta < \alpha \text{ with } f(t) = 0 \ \forall t \in K^{(\beta)}\}} = X_\alpha.$$

Now the conclusion follows from Theorem 7. □

R. Haydon [11] and K. Kunen [14] constructed under CH a FU compact space K such that $B_{C(K)^*}$ does not have countable tightness. Thus, it is not true for a general compact space K that if K is sequential then $B_{C(K)^*}$ is sequential. We refer the reader to [9] for a discussion on this topic.

It can be easily checked that the space JL_0 is isomorphic to a $\mathcal{C}(K)$ space where K is a scattered compact space with $ht(K) = 2$ and sequential order 2. Thus, JL_0 has weak*-sequential dual ball with sequential order 2.

The known examples in ZFC of sequential compact spaces are all of sequential order ≤ 2. However, A. Dow constructed under the assumption $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$ a scattered compact space K of sequential order 4 such that the sequential order and the scattering heigth coincide [6].

Corollary 11. *Under $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$, there exist Banach spaces with weak*-sequential dual balls of any sequential order ≤ 4.*

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank José Rodríguez for his helpful suggestions and remarks.

References

[1] Z. Balogh, *On compact Hausdorff spaces of countable tightness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1988), 755-764.

[2] P. Borodulin-Nadzieja, G. Plebanek, *On sequential properties of Banach spaces, spaces of measures and densities*, Czech. Math. J. 60 (2010), 381–399.

[3] J. Bourgain, La proprieté de Radon-Nikodým, Publ. Math. Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie 36 (1979).

[4] C. Brech, *Construções genéricas de espaços de Asplund C(K)*, Ph.D. thesis, Universidade de São Paulo and Université Paris 7 (2008).

[5] J. Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Springer New York (1984).

[6] A. Dow, *Sequential order under MA*, Topp. Appl. 146/147 (2005), 501–510.

[7] G.A. Edgar, *Measurability in a Banach space, II*, Ind. Univ. Math. J. 28 (1979), 559–579.

[8] J. Ferrer, P. Koszmider, W. Kubiś, *Almost disjoint families of countable sets and separable complementation properties*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 (2013), 939–949.

[9] R. Frankiewicz, G. Plebanek, C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *Between the Lindelöf property and countable tightness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2000) 97–103

[10] J. Hagler, F. Sullivan, *Smoothness and weak* sequential compactness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 497–503.

[11] R. Haydon, *On dual L^1-spaces and injective bidual Banach spaces*, Isr. J. Math. 31 (1978), 142–152.
[12] W.B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, *Some remarks on weakly compactly generated Banach spaces*, Isr. J. Math. 17 (1974), 219–230.
[13] T. Kappeler, *Banach spaces with the condition of Mazur*, Math. Z. 191 (1986), 623–631.
[14] K. Kunen, A compact L-space under CH, Topology Appl. 12 (1981), 283–287.
[15] R. Pol, *On a question of H.H. Corson and some related problems*, Fund. Math. 109 (1980)m 143–154.
[16] A. Plichko, *Three sequential properties of dual Banach spaces in the weak* topology*, Top. Appl. 190 (2015), 93–98.
[17] A. Plichko, D. Yost, *Complemented and uncomplemented subspaces of Banach spaces*, Ext. Math. 15 (2000), 335–371, III Congress on Banach Spaces (Jarandilla de la Vera, 1998).
[18] D. Yost, *Asplund spaces for beginners*, Acta. Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. 34 (1993), 159–177.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, 30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain

E-mail address: gonzalo.martinez2@um.es